

# LIBER OCTAVUS DECIMUS

r

Disputationes a philosopho Stoico et contra a Peripatetico, arbitro Favorino, factae; quaesitumque inter eos quantum in perficienda vita beata virtus valeret quantumque esset in his quae dicuntur extranea.

1 Familiares Favorini erant duo quidam non incelebres in urbe Roma philosophi. Eorum fuit unus 2 Peripateticae disciplinae sectator, alter Stoicae. His quondam ego acriter atque contente pro suis utrimque decretis propugnantibus, cum essemus una omnes Ostiae cum Favorino, interfui. Ambulabamus autem in litore, cum iam advesperasceret,

aestate anni novi.1

Atque ibi Stoicus censebat et vitam beatam homini virtute animi sola et miseriam summam malitia sola posse effici, etiamsi cetera bona omnia quae corporalia et externa appellarentur virtuti deessent, 5 malitiae adessent. Ille contra Peripateticus miseram quidem vitam vitiis animi et malitia sola fieri concedebat, sed ad conplendos omnes vitae beatae numeros virtutem solam nequaquam satis esse existimabat, quoniam et corporis integritas sanitasque et honestus modus formae et pecunia familiaris et bona existimatio ceteraque omnia corporis et fortunae bona necessaria viderentur perficiendae vitae beatae.

1 nova, Salmasius; novi, ω; molli, Damsté.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> fortunae et pecuniae reique familiaris, *Madvig*; res familiaris, *Damsté*; but cf. iii. 17. 1.

## BOOK XVIII

Ţ

Discussions held by a Stoic philosopher and in opposition by a Peripatetic, with Favorinus as arbiter; and the question at issue was, how far virtue availed in determining a happy life and to what extent happiness was dependent on what are called external circumstances.

THERE were two friends of Favorinus, philosophers of no little note in the city of Rome; one of them was a follower of the Peripatetic school, the other of the Stoic. I was once present when these men argued ably and vigorously, each for his own beliefs, when we were all with Favorinus at Ostia. And we were walking along the shore in springtime,

just as evening was falling.

And on that occasion the Stoic maintained that man could enjoy a happy life only through virtue, and that the greatest wretchedness was due to wickedness only, even though all the other blessings, which are called external, should be lacking to the virtuous man and present with the wicked. The Peripatetic, on the other hand, admitted that a wretched life was due solely to vicious thoughts and wickedness, but he believed that virtue alone was by no means sufficient to round out all the parts of a happy life, since the complete use of one's limbs, good health, a reasonably attractive person, property, good repute, and all the other advantages of body and fortune seemed necessary to make a perfectly happy life.

#### ATTIC NIGHTS OF AULUS GELLIUS

Reclamabat hoe in loco Stoicus et, tamquam duas ille res diversas poneret, mirabatur, quod, cum essent malitia et virtus duo contraria, vita misera et beata quoque aeque contraria, non servaret in utrisque vim 7 et naturam contrarii et ad miseriam quidem vitae conficiendam satis valere malitiam solam putaret, ad praestandam vero beatam vitam non satis solam esse 8 virtutem diceret. Atque id maxime dissidere neque convenire dicebat, quod qui profiteretur vitam nullo pacto beatam effici posse si virtus sola abesset, idem contra negaret, beatam fieri vitam cum sola virtus adesset, et quem daret haberetque virtuti absenti honorem, eundem petenti atque praesenti adimeret. Tum Peripateticus perquam hercle festive: "Rogo te," inquit, "cum bona venia respondeas an existimes esse vini amphoram, cum abest ab ea unus "Minime," inquit, "vini amphora dici 10 congius?" 11 potest ex qua abest congius." Hoc ubi accepit Peripateticus, "Unus igitur," inquit, "congius amphoram facere dici debebit, quoniam, cum deest ille unus, non fit amphora vini et, cum accessit, fit

una sola virtute vitam fieri beatam dicere, quoniam, cum virtus abest, beata esse vita numquam potest." 1

Tum Favorinus aspiciens Peripateticum. "Est qui-

amphora. Quod si id dicere absurdum est, uno congio solo fieri amphoram, itidem absurdum est

<sup>1</sup> possit, or quamquam for quoniam, Skutsch.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Somewhat less than 6 gallons.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A little less than 6 pints.

#### BOOK XVIII. I. 6-12

Here the Stoic made outery against him, and maintaining that his opponent was advancing two contrary propositions, expressed his surprise that, since wickedness and virtue were two opposites, and a wretched and a happy life were also opposites, he did not preserve in each the force and nature of an opposite, but believed that wickedness alone was sufficient to cause an unhappy life, at the same time declaring that virtue alone was not sufficient to guarantee a happy life. And he said that it was especially inconsistent and contradictory for one who maintained that a life could in no way be made happy if virtue alone were lacking, to deny on the other hand that a life could be happy when virtue alone was present, and thus to take away from virtue when present demanding it, that honour which he gave bestowed upon virtue when lacking.

Thereupon the Peripatetic, in truth very wittily, said: "Pray pardon me, and tell me this, whether you think that an amphora¹ of wine from which a congius² has been taken, is still an amphora?" "By no means," was the reply, "can that be called an amphora of wine, from which a congius is missing." When the Peripatetic heard this, he retorted: "Then it will have to be said that one congius makes an amphora of wine, since when that one is lacking, it is not an amphora, and when it is added, it becomes an amphora But if it is absurd to say that an amphora is made from one single congius, it is equally absurd to say that a life is made happy by virtue alone by itself, because when virtue is lacking life can never be happy."

Then Favorinus, turning to the Peripatetic, said:

#### ATTIC NIGHTS OF AULUS GELLIUS

dem," inquit, "argutiola haec qua de congio vini usus es exposita in libris; sed, ut scis, captio magis lepida quam probum aut simile argumentum videri debet. Congius enim cum deest efficit quidem no

13 debet. Congius enim, cum deest, efficit quidem ne sit iustae mensurae amphora; sed cum accedit et additur, non ille unus facit amphoram, sed supplet.

14 Virtus autem, ut isti dicunt, non accessio neque supplementum, sed sola ipsa vitae beatae instar est et propterea beatam vitam sola una, cum adest, facit."

Haec atque alia quaedam minuta magis et nodosa,
 tamquam apud arbitrum Favorinum, in suam uterque
 sententiam conferebant. Sed cum iam prima fax

16 sententiam conferebant. Sed cum iam prima fax noctis et densiores esse tenebrae coepissent, prosecuti Favorinum in domum, ad quam devertebat, discessimus.

#### II

Cuiusmodi quaestionum certationibus Saturnalicia ludicra Athenis agitare soliti simus ; atque inibi expressa quaedam sophismatia et aenigmata oblectatoria.

1 Saturnalia Athenis agitabamus hilare prorsum ac modeste, non, ut dicitur, "remittentes animum"—nam "remittere," inquit Musonius, "animum quasi amittere est"—, sed demulcentes eum paulum atque 2 laxantes iucundis honestisque sermonum inlectationibus. Conveniebamus autem ad eandem cenam conplusculi, qui Romani in Graeciam veneramus quique easdem auditiones eosdemque doctores coles bamus. Tum qui et cenulam ordine suo curabat.

praemium solvendae quaestionis ponebat librum

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> p. 133, Hense.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Cf. note on vii. 13. 2.

#### BOOK XVIII. I. 12-II. 3

"This clever turn which you have used about the congius of wine is indeed set forth in the books; but, as you know, it ought to be regarded rather as a neat catch than as an honest or plausible argument. For when a congius is lacking, it indeed causes the amphora not to be of full measure; but when it is added and put in, it alone does not make, but completes, an amphora. But virtue, as the Stoics say, is not an addition or a supplement, but it by itself is the equivalent of a happy life, and therefore it alone makes a happy life, when it is present."

These and some other minute and knotty arguments each advanced in support of his own opinion, before Favorinus as umpire. But when the first night-lights appeared and the darkness grew thicker, we escorted Favorinus to the house where he was putting up; and when he went in, we separated.

### Π

What kind of questions we used to discuss when spending the Saturnalia at Athens; and some amusing sophistries and enigmas.

WE used to spend the Saturnalia at Athens very merrily yet temperately, not "relaxing our minds," as the saying is—for, as Musonius asserts, to relax the mind is like losing it—but diverting our minds a little and relieving them by the delights of pleasant and improving conversation. Accordingly, a number of us Romans who had come to Greece, and who attended the same lectures and devoted ourselves to the same teachers, met at the same dinner-table. Then the one who was giving the entertainment in his turn, offered as a prize for solving a problem

# END OF SAMPLE TEXT



The Complete Text can be found on our CD:

Primary Literary Sources For Ancient Literature
which can be purchased on our Website:

www.Brainfly.net

or

by sending \$64.95 in check or money order to:

**Brainfly Inc.** 

**5100** Garfield Ave. #46

Sacramento CA 95841-3839

# **TEACHER'S DISCOUNT:**

If you are a **TEACHER** you can take advantage of our teacher's discount. Click on **Teachers Discount** on our website (www.Brainfly.net) or **Send us \$55.95** and we will send you a full copy of **Primary Literary Sources For Ancient Literature AND our 5000 Classics CD** (a collection of over 5000 classic works of literature in electronic format (.txt)) plus our Wholesale price list.

If you have any suggestions such as books you would like to see added to the collection or if you would like our wholesale prices list please send us an email to:

webcomments@brainfly.net